Sander Greenland

Epidemiology, Statistics, Public Health, Biostatistics

Overall Challenge Outcome Analysis

Positive Negative Unknown



Context detects a **positive** court analysis of an expert when the language of the court admits an expert's testimony, or weights it heavily. It detects a **negative** court analysis of expert when the language of the court excludes or discounts the expert's testimony.

Trial Level Challenges

About this data

Appellate Court Data

Case	Methodology	Qualification	Relevance	Procedural	Analysis
Stevens v. Stryker Corp.	○				✓
Aberdeen City Council v JM	○	○			✗
Morgan v Dumfries	○	○			✓
Morgan v Dumfries	○	○			✗
Morgan v Dumfries					✓
Morgan v Dumfries					✓
Morgan v Dumfries					–
Morgan v Dumfries					✓
Morgan v Dumfries					✗
Morgan v Dumfries					
Morgan v Dumfries					
Morgan v Dumfries					

Appellate Level Challenges

About this data

Case	Methodology	Qualification	Relevance	Procedural	Analysis
Morgan v Dumfries	○				✓
Morgan v Dumfries					

All Challenge Decisions (15)

Select All Print Email Download

1 **Stevens v. Stryker Corp.**

United States District Court, Wisconsin Western | Sep 4, 2013

Summary

Motion to exclude testimony denied.

Court Language

'Plaintiff has named four experts to help prove this element of her claim: (1) Brian Fukushima, who will testify that defendant's pain pump caused her chondrolysis (what the parties refer to as "specific causation"); (2) Peter Kurzweil , who will testify that pain pumps can cause chondrolysis as a general matter ("general causation"); (3) Sander Greenland , an epidemiologist, who plaintiff says will "help the jury understand the significance of the mounting evidence of general causation"; and (4) Martin Wells , who will provide a statistical analysis in an attempt to show a causal relationship between pain pumps and chondrolysis. ... Defendants' motion to exclude the testimony of Sander Greenland , dkt. #121, is DENIED.'

2 **Placencia v. I-Flow Corp.**

United States District Court, Arizona | Nov 20, 2012

Summary

Motion to strike denied.

Court Language

'I-Flow also argues that Dr. Greenland is not a medical doctor and therefore cannot give an opinion on causation. ... I-Flow's motion to strike Dr. Greenland (Doc. 140) is denied .'

3 **Placencia v. I-Flow Corp.**

United States District Court, Arizona | Nov 20, 2012

Summary

Motion to strike denied.

Court Language

'I-Flow also argues that Dr. Greenland is not a medical doctor and therefore cannot give an opinion on causation. ... I-Flow's motion to strike Dr. Greenland (Doc. 140) is denied .'

4 **Placencia v. I-Flow Corp.**

United States District Court, Arizona | Nov 20, 2012

Summary

Motion to strike denied.

Court Language

'I-Flow also argues that Dr. Greenland is not a medical doctor and therefore cannot give an opinion on causation. ... I-Flow's motion to strike Dr. Greenland (Doc. 140) is denied .'

RELX™
Copyright © 2023 LexisNexis®